**Problem A.** Let *m* and *b* be real numbers. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^1$  be the function given by f(x) = mx + b. Prove that *f* is a linear transformation if and only if b = 0.

Solution.

Suppose f is a linear transformation. Then f(2) = 2f(1). Since f(2) = 2m + b and f(1) = m + b, we have 2m + b = 2(m + b), which simplifies to b = 0 as desired.

Now suppose that b = 0. For any  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^1$ , we have

$$f(u + v) = m(u + v) = mu + mv = f(u) = f(v).$$

For any  $u \in \mathbb{R}^1$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$f(cu) = m(cu) = c(mu) = cf(u).$$

Thus, by the definition, f is a linear transformation.

**Problem B.** Let A be a fixed  $n \times n$  matrix. Prove that AB = BA for all  $n \times n$  matrices B if and only if  $A = \lambda I_n$  for some real number  $\lambda$ .

## Solution.

Suppose  $A = \lambda I_n$  for some real number  $\lambda$  and B is an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

$$AB = (\lambda I_n)B = \lambda (I_nB) = \lambda B = \lambda (BI_n) = B(\lambda I_n) = BA$$

Now, suppose AB = BA for all  $n \times n$  matrices B. The result holds for n = 1 since multiplication of real numbers satisfies ab = ba; thus we may suppose  $n \ge 2$ . Suppose  $1 \le i, j \le n$  and  $i \ne j$ .

Let C(i, j) be the matrix with a 1 in the i, j entry and a 0 in every other entry. Observe that

$$[AC(i,j)]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik}C(i,j)_{kj} = A_{ii},$$

while

$$[C(i,j)A]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C(i,j)_{ik}A_{kj} = A_{jj}.$$

Similarly,

$$[AC(i,j)]_{jj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{jk}C(i,j)_{kj} = A_{ji},$$

while

$$[C(i,j)A]_{jj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C(i,j)_{jk}A_{kj} = 0$$

since  $j \neq i$ . Since AC(i, j) = C(i, j)A, by considering the *ij*-entry of this matrix equation, we conclude that  $A_{ii} = A_{jj}$ . By considering the *jj*-entry, we conclude that  $A_{ji} = 0$ . Since *i*, *j* were any two non-equal indices, we conclude that  $A_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$  and

$$A_{11} = A_{22} = \dots = A_{nn}.$$

Setting  $\lambda = A_{11}$ , we have  $A = \lambda I_n$  as desired.

This " $\Sigma$  notation" proof might seem mysterious. Things may be clearer with a specific  $3 \times 3$  example:

$$AC(1,2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{31} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$C(1,2)A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and