

Multilinear Algebra

Alexander Duncan

January 23, 2023

Here we review and/or introduce standard facts from (multi)linear algebra. Much of this should have been seen in undergraduate linear algebra or the standard algebra qualifying exam sequence, but some ideas (such as tensor products) may not have been.

Two standard references for graduate level algebra are [DF04] and [Lan02]. We focus on the case where the base ring is a field, since this is our main application. Many of these ideas can be generalized or extended to arbitrary rings, but we do not do so here.

1 Endomorphisms

Let k be a field.

Definition 1.1. A k -algebra A is both a k -vector space and a unital ring such that $r(ab) = (ra)b = a(rb)$ for all $r \in k$ and $a, b \in A$. A *morphism of k -algebras* $f: A \rightarrow B$ is both a k -linear transformation and a ring homomorphism.

An alternative (equivalent) definition of k -algebra is as a unital ring A along with ring homomorphism $\pi: k \rightarrow A$, called the *structure morphism*, whose image is contained in the center of A . Using this definition, a morphism of k -algebras is a ring homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\pi_B = f \circ \pi_A$, where π_A and π_B are the respective structure morphisms of A and B .

Exercise 1.2. *Prove the two definitions of k -algebra (resp. k -algebra morphism) are equivalent.*

Definition 1.3. Let $M_{n,m}(k)$ be the set of $n \times m$ matrices with coefficients in k and let $M_n(k) = M_{n,n}(k)$.

The set of matrices $M_{n,m}(k)$ is a k -vector space and the set of square matrices $M_n(k)$ is a k -algebra under matrix multiplication.

Definition 1.4. For k -vector spaces V, W , let $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ be the set of k -linear transformations from V to W . We write $\text{End}_k(V) = \text{Hom}_k(V, V)$ for the set of *endomorphisms* of V . We write $V^\vee = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$ for the *dual space* of V .

The set $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ is a k -vector space and the set $\text{End}_k(V)$ is moreover a k -algebra under composition.

Given a matrix $A \in M_{n,m}(k)$, we obtain two canonical maps. Namely, we have *left multiplication* $L_A \in \text{Hom}(k^m, k^n)$ via $L_A(v) = Av$ viewing v as a column vector and *right multiplication* $R_A \in \text{Hom}(k^n, k^m)$ via $R_A(v) = vA$ viewing v as a row vector.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $\psi : k^n \rightarrow V$ and $\phi : k^m \rightarrow W$ are isomorphisms of vector spaces. Then there is a vector space isomorphism $M_{n,m}(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ given by $A \mapsto \phi \circ L_A \circ \psi^{-1}$. In the case where $W = V$ and $\phi = \psi$, this becomes a k -algebra isomorphism $M_n(k) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$.*

1.1 Dual Spaces

If V is a vector space with finite basis β_1, \dots, β_n , then there is a unique *dual basis* $\beta_1^\vee, \dots, \beta_n^\vee$ for V^\vee such that $\beta_i^\vee(\beta_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

In particular, for a finite-dimensional vector space V , there is a *non-canonical* isomorphism $V \cong V^\vee$, which depends on a choice of basis. In contrast, there is a *canonical* isomorphism $V \cong (V^\vee)^\vee$ by taking a vector $v \in V$ to the “evaluation” functional $\text{ev}_v : f \mapsto f(v)$.

1.2 Characteristic Polynomial

Definition 1.6. Given a square matrix $A \in M_n(k)$, we define the *characteristic polynomial*:

$$\chi_A(t) = \det(tI - A)$$

which is an element of $k[t]$. We use the sign convention ensuring that the characteristic polynomial is always monic.

The *minimal polynomial* $m_A(t) \in k[t]$ is the monic generator of the principal ideal $\ker(\psi_A)$ where $\psi_A : k[t] \rightarrow M_n(k)$ is the k -algebra homomorphism determined by $\psi_A(t) = A$.

Theorem 1.7 (Cayley-Hamilton). $m_A(t)$ divides $\chi_A(t)$

Definition 1.8. The multiset of *eigenvalues* of $A \in M_n(k)$ is the multiset of roots of $\chi_A(t)$ over the algebraic closure \bar{k} of k .

If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $\chi_A(t)$, counted with multiplicity, then we have

$$\chi_A(t) = t^n - e_1 t^{n-1} + e_2 t^{n-2} - e_3 t^{n-3} + \dots \pm e_n$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n are the *elementary symmetric functions* in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

As a formula, we have that the elementary symmetric function e_i is given by

$$e_i = \sum_{X \in S(n,k)} \left(\prod_{i \in X} \lambda_i \right)$$

where $S(n, k)$ is the set of subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k .

Important special cases are the trace

$$\text{tr}(A) = e_1 = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$$

and the determinant

$$\det(A) = e_n = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_n.$$

Recall that two matrices $A, B \in M_n(k)$ are *similar* if there exists a matrix P such that $A = PBP^{-1}$. Given an endomorphism f of a n -dimensional vector space V , one obtains different representing matrices for f depending on the choice of basis. However, the set of matrices representing f is a similarity class in $M_n(k)$.

The minimal polynomial, characteristic polynomial, eigenvalues, trace, and determinant are all invariant under similarity. Thus, one can define the minimal polynomial, characteristic polynomial, eigenvalues, trace, and determinant of an endomorphism in a canonical way that does not depend on the choice of basis.

1.3 Jordan Canonical Form

The *Jordan block of size n with eigenvalue λ* is the n -dimensional matrix

$$J_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 1.9. A matrix A is in *Jordan Canonical Form* if A is of block diagonal form with the blocks being Jordan blocks:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1}(\lambda_1) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & J_{n_r}(\lambda_r) \end{pmatrix}$$

where n_1, \dots, n_r and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are not necessarily distinct.

Note that *diagonal matrices* are precisely those in Jordan canonical form where $n_1 = \dots = n_r = 1$. A matrix is *diagonalizable* if it is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Theorem 1.10. *Suppose A is a square matrix whose eigenvalues are defined over k . Then A is similar to matrix in Jordan Canonical Form, which is unique up to reordering the Jordan blocks.*

Note that the condition in the theorem above always holds when the field k is algebraically closed.

Exercise 1.11.

$$J_n(\lambda)^m = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^m & \binom{m}{1}\lambda^{m-1} & \binom{m}{2}\lambda^{m-2} & \cdots & \binom{m}{n-2}\lambda^{m-n+2} & \binom{m}{n-1}\lambda^{m-n+1} \\ 0 & \lambda^m & \binom{m}{1}\lambda^{m-1} & \cdots & \binom{m}{n-3}\lambda^{m-n+3} & \binom{m}{n-2}\lambda^{m-n+2} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^m & \cdots & \binom{m}{n-4}\lambda^{m-n+4} & \binom{m}{n-3}\lambda^{m-n+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda^m & \binom{m}{1}\lambda^{m-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary 1.12. *Suppose k is an algebraically closed field and m is an integer coprime to the characteristic. If $A \in M_n(k)$ has order m , then A is diagonalizable and its eigenvalues are m th roots of unity.*

Proof. The order of a matrix is a similarity invariant since $A = PBP^{-1}$ implies $A^m = PB^mP^{-1}$. Thus, we may assume that A is in Jordan Canonical Form. Since we know $A^m = I$, from the exercise we conclude that for every eigenvalue λ_i of A , we have $\lambda_i^m = 1$ and moreover $m\lambda_i^{m-1} = 0$ if the corresponding Jordan block is not 1×1 . The first condition forces all the eigenvalues to be m th roots of unity, while the second forces all the blocks to be 1×1 (since $m \neq 0$). \square

The condition that the integer m is coprime to the characteristic is necessary. If k has characteristic p , then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has order p , but is not diagonalizable.

1.4 Rational Canonical Form

TODO

2 Bilinear Maps

Definition 2.1. Let U , V and W be k -vector spaces. A *bilinear map* $b : V \times W \rightarrow U$, is a function such that

- $b(\lambda v_1 + v_2, w) = \lambda b(v_1, w) + b(v_2, w)$, and
- $b(v, \lambda w_1 + w_2) = \lambda b(v, w_1) + b(v, w_2)$

for all $v, v_1, v_2 \in V$, $w, w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $\lambda \in k$.

The set $\text{Bil}_k(V, W; U)$ of bilinear maps is a k -vector space. We have the shorthand $\text{Bil}_k(V, W) = \text{Bil}_k(V, W; k)$ when the codomain is k . We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Bil}_k(V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_k(V, W^\vee)$$

where $b \in \text{Bil}_k(V, W)$ is taken to $b_1 : V \rightarrow W^\vee$ by defining $b_1(v) = b(v, -)$ for each $v \in V$. Similarly, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Bil}_k(V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_k(W, V^\vee)$$

where $b \in \text{Bil}_k(V, W)$ is taken to $b_2 : W \rightarrow V^\vee$ by defining $b_2(w) = b(-, w)$ for each $w \in W$.

Suppose V has basis v_1, \dots, v_n and W has basis w_1, \dots, w_m , then we can form the *matrix* B of the bilinear map b by defining $B_{ij} = b(v_i, w_j)$.

Exercise 2.2. *The following are equivalent*

- $b_1 : W \rightarrow V^\vee$ is an isomorphism.
- $b_2 : V \rightarrow W^\vee$ is an isomorphism.
- B is an invertible matrix (regardless of bases chosen).

If any of these conditions hold, then we say the bilinear map is non-degenerate.

A non-degenerate bilinear map $V \times W \rightarrow k$ is often called a *perfect pairing*. In view of the exercise, a perfect pairing induces isomorphisms $V \cong W^\vee$ and $W \cong V^\vee$.

2.1 Bilinear Forms

A *bilinear form* on V is a bilinear map $b : V \times V \rightarrow k$. In other words, both components of the product in the domain are the same vector space. In this case, the *matrix* B of a bilinear form b is usually formed with respect to the same basis for both components of the product.

Definition 2.3. A bilinear form $b : V \times V \rightarrow k$ is

- *symmetric* if $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$ for all $v, w \in V$.
- *skew-symmetric* or *antisymmetric* if $b(v, w) = -b(w, v)$ for all $v, w \in V$.
- *alternating* if $b(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

Exercise 2.4. *The set of symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric, resp. alternating) bilinear forms is a subspace of $\text{Bil}_k(V, V)$.*

If $b : V \times V \rightarrow k$ is a symmetric bilinear form, then the two canonical maps $b_1 : V \rightarrow V^\vee$ and $b_2 : V \rightarrow V^\vee$ are equal. Thus, a symmetric bilinear form gives rise to a single choice $V \rightarrow V^\vee$ of isomorphism (rather than a pair).

Example 2.5. The *dot product* on k^n is a symmetric bilinear form. In the case where k does not have characteristic 2, the form is non-degenerate and the corresponding isomorphism $k^n \rightarrow (k^n)^\vee$ can be thought of as the transpose operation, which takes column vectors to row vectors and vice versa.

Example 2.6. Consider the $2n \times 2n$ block matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in k^{2n} . The corresponding bilinear form is a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form.

Example 2.7. If k has characteristic 2, then the “dot product” on k^n is a *degenerate* symmetric bilinear form. It is also an example of a skew-symmetric bilinear form that is not alternating.

Proposition 2.8. *Every alternating form is skew-symmetric.*

Proof. If b is alternating, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= b(v + w, v + w) \\ &= b(v, v) + b(v, w) + b(w, v) + b(w, w) \\ &= b(v, w) + b(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that b is skew-symmetric. \square

Proposition 2.9. *If k does not have characteristic 2, then a bilinear form is alternating if and only if it is skew-symmetric.*

Proof. If b is skew-symmetric, then $b(v, v) = -b(v, v)$ for all $v \in V$. Thus $2b(v, v) = 0$ and, since $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, we see b is alternating. \square

Proposition 2.10. *If k has characteristic 2, then a bilinear form is symmetric if and only if it is skew-symmetric. In particular, every alternating form is symmetric.*

Proof. Clear. \square

2.2 Sesquilinear Forms

In this section, we work over the complex numbers. There are extensions of these ideas to quadratic extensions of other fields, but we do not want to get too far afield. Below, if $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$, then we write $\bar{z} = a - bi$ for its complex conjugate.

Definition 2.11. Let U, V and W be \mathbb{C} -vector spaces. A *sesquilinear map* $s : V \times W \rightarrow U$, is a function such that

- $s(\lambda v_1 + v_2, w) = \bar{\lambda}s(v_1, w) + s(v_2, w)$, and
- $s(v, \lambda w_1 + w_2) = \lambda s(v, w_1) + s(v, w_2)$

for all $v, v_1, v_2 \in V$, $w, w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

A sesquilinear map is *not* a bilinear form as it is conjugate linear in the first entry rather than linear. We use the “physics convention” where the first entry is conjugate linear rather than the second. This disagrees with many areas of mathematics, which decrees that the *second* entry is conjugate linear. The former is much more natural in view of the standard matrix conventions and seems to “winning” in more modern texts.

Definition 2.12. A *sesquilinear form* is a sesquilinear map $s : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. A sesquilinear form is *hermitian* if $s(v, w) = \overline{s(w, v)}$ for all $v, w \in V$. A hermitian form is *positive definite* if $s(v, v) > 0$ if and only if $v \neq 0$.

Informally, if we restrict a sesquilinear form to a vector space over a totally real subfield $k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then hermitian restricts to symmetric and positive definite restricts to non-degenerate.

2.3 Classical Groups

TODO

2.4 Multilinear Maps

Definition 2.13. Let U, V_1, \dots, V_d be k -vector spaces. A *multilinear map* $m : V_1 \times \dots \times V_d \rightarrow U$, is a function that is linear in each entry; in other words,

$$\begin{aligned} & m(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, \lambda v_i + v'_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_d) \\ &= \lambda m(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_d) + m(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v'_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_d) \end{aligned}$$

for every index i and all $v_j, v'_j \in V_j$, $\lambda \in k$. A *multilinear form* is a multilinear map where $V_1 = \cdots = V_d$.

Definition 2.14. A multilinear form is *symmetric* if

$$m(\cdots, v_i, \cdots, v_j, \cdots) = m(\cdots, v_j, \cdots, v_i, \cdots)$$

for all pairs of indices i, j .

Definition 2.15. A multilinear form is *skew-symmetric* or *antisymmetric* if

$$m(\cdots, v_i, \cdots, v_j, \cdots) = -m(\cdots, v_j, \cdots, v_i, \cdots)$$

for all distinct pairs of indices i, j .

Definition 2.16. A multilinear form is *alternating* if

$$m(v_1, \dots, v_d) = 0$$

whenever $v_i = v_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

As in the bilinear case, multilinear maps form a vector space and the symmetric, skew-symmetric, and alternating forms are subspaces of the space of multilinear forms.

Exercise 2.17. *Prove that*

- *alternating forms are skew-symmetric,*
- *if $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, then skew-symmetric forms are alternating, and*
- *if $\text{char}(k) = 2$, then skew-symmetric forms are symmetric and conversely.*

Example 2.18. The determinant $\det : M_n(k) \rightarrow k$ is an alternating multilinear form in the rows (or columns) of the matrix. Moreover, it is the unique such form such that the identity is 1.

3 Tensor Products

We direct the reader to [DF04, 10.4] or [Lan02, XVI] for more details about the properties of tensor products in the general module-theoretic setting. Here we focus on the case of finite-dimensional vector spaces, which is somewhat easier.

We define tensor products in terms of their universal mapping property.

Definition 3.1. Let V_1, \dots, V_n be vector spaces over k . A *tensor product* $V_1 \otimes_k V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes_k V_d$ is a k -vector space along with a multilinear map

$$\pi : V_1 \times \cdots \times V_d \rightarrow V_1 \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k V_d$$

such that for any multilinear map $\phi : V_1 \times \cdots \times V_d \rightarrow W$ there exists a unique linear map $\psi : V_1 \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k V_d \rightarrow W$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$.

When the base field k is clear, we will often omit it from the notation; in other words $V \otimes U$ is shorthand for $V \otimes_k U$. We may also use the shorthand notation

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^d V_i := V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d.$$

Given $(v_1, \dots, v_d) \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_d$, let $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d$ denote the image $\pi(v_1, \dots, v_d)$ in $V_1 \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k V_d$. We call these elements *simple tensors*.

Theorem 3.2. *Tensor products exist and are unique up to unique isomorphism.*

Proof. We describe a construction, leaving the remaining details as an exercise. Let Ω be the free k -vector space with basis given by the elements of $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_d$. Let $[x]$ be the basis element corresponding to x . Let I be the subspace of Ω spanned by

$$[(v_1, \dots, (\lambda v_i + v'_i), \dots, v_d)] - \lambda[(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_d)] - [(v_1, \dots, v'_i, \dots, v_d)]$$

for every index i , every $\lambda \in k$ and all $v_j, v'_j \in V_j$ for every j . Define $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$ as the quotient space Ω/I with π the composition of the canonical inclusion $\prod_{i=1}^d V_i \rightarrow \Omega$ and the quotient $\Omega \rightarrow \bigotimes_{i=1}^d V_i$. \square

We have the following corollaries:

Corollary 3.3. *The vector space $V_1 \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k V_d$ is spanned by its simple tensors. The relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\lambda v_i + v'_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d \\ &= \lambda(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) + v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v'_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d \end{aligned}$$

holds for every index i , every $\lambda \in k$ and all $v_j, v'_j \in V_j$.

Proof. Exercise. □

Proposition 3.4. *If B_1, \dots, B_d are bases for V_1, \dots, V_d , then*

$$\{b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_d \mid b_1 \in B_1, \dots, b_d \in B_d\}$$

is a basis for $V_1 \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k V_d$.

Proof. Exercise. □

Remark 3.5. One frequently defines linear transformations by “extension by linearity.” Suppose we have vector spaces V, W and we have a spanning set S for V . If we define a function $f : S \rightarrow W$, then there is at most one linear transformation $F : V \rightarrow W$ extending f . When S is a *basis*, the extension F always exists, but when S is not linearly independent one must make sure any linear extension is well-defined. In the case of tensor products, the set S is frequently taken to be the set of simple tensors.

Theorem 3.6. *For a finite-dimensional vector space V and an arbitrary vector space W , we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$V^\vee \otimes W \cong \text{Hom}_k(V, W).$$

Proof. Define $\psi : V^\vee \otimes W \cong \text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ by defining

$$\psi(f \otimes w)(v) = f(v)w$$

for $f \in V^\vee, w \in W, v \in V$, and extending by linearity. (Exercise: check that extension by linearity is valid here!)

We explicitly construct an inverse map ϕ . Let v_1, \dots, v_d be a basis for V . Given a linear map $g : V \rightarrow W$, define

$$\phi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^d v_i^\vee \otimes g(v_i).$$

(Exercise: check that $\phi \circ \psi$ and $\psi \circ \phi$ are identities.) □

Exercise 3.7. Identifying $V^\vee \otimes V \cong \text{Hom}_k(V, V)$, the linear map $T : V^\vee \otimes V \rightarrow k$ defined by

$$T \left(\sum_{i=1}^d f_i \otimes v_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(v_i)$$

can be identified with the trace $\text{tr} : \text{Hom}_k(V, V) \rightarrow k$.

Corollary 3.8. For finite-dimensional vector spaces V, W , we have a canonical isomorphism

$$V^\vee \otimes W^\vee \cong \text{Bil}_k(V, W).$$

Proof. Both are canonically isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_k(V, W^\vee)$. □

Proposition 3.9. Suppose U, V, W are finite-dimensional vector spaces. There are canonical isomorphisms as follows:

1. $V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$
2. $U \otimes (V \oplus W) \cong (U \otimes V) \oplus (U \otimes W)$
3. $U \otimes V \otimes W \cong U \otimes (V \otimes W) \cong (U \otimes V) \otimes W$
4. $V \otimes k \cong V$
5. $(V \otimes W)^\vee \cong V^\vee \otimes W^\vee$
6. $\text{Hom}(U, \text{Hom}(V, W)) \cong \text{Hom}(U \otimes V, W)$

Proof. We leave these all as exercises. One helpful hint is that $(V \otimes W)^\vee = \text{Hom}(V \otimes W, k)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Bil}(V, W)$ by the Universal Mapping Property of tensor products. □

Proposition 3.10. Let L/k be a field extension. If V is a k -vector space, then $V \otimes_k L$ is an L -vector space. If A is a k -algebra, then $A \otimes_k L$ is an L -algebra.

Proof. The set $V \otimes_k L$ is already an abelian group. We define scalar multiplication by extending by linearity the formula $\lambda(v \otimes l) = v \otimes \lambda l$ for $\lambda, l \in L$ and $v \in V$. To see A is an L -algebra, we need to define the ring multiplication. We see that $(a_1 \otimes l_1)(a_2 \otimes l_2) = a_1 a_2 \otimes l_1 l_2$. □

4 Tensor Algebras

We refer to [DF04, §11.5] or [Lan02] for some of this material. The material in [Eis95, §A.2] gives an alternate perspective that is a bit more general and abstract than what we need.

Definition 4.1. A *graded k -algebra* is a k -algebra A with a direct sum decomposition

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$$

such that $A_n \cdot A_m \subseteq A_{n+m}$ for all integers n, m . An element $f \in A_d$ is said to be *homogeneous of degree d* .

The standard example of a graded k -algebra is the polynomial k -algebra $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ graded by degree.

Definition 4.2. Let V be a finite-dimensional k -vector space. For a positive integer n , we define $\mathcal{T}^d(V) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d V$ and $\mathcal{T}^0(V) = k$. We define the *tensor k -algebra on V* as the graded k -algebra

$$\mathcal{T}(V) := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{T}^d(V)$$

with multiplication

$$\mathcal{T}^d(V) \times \mathcal{T}^e(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{d+e}(V)$$

defined via

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d)(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_e) = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d \otimes w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_e$$

and extended to all of $\mathcal{T}(V)$ by linearity.

Example 4.3. If $V = k^n$, then $\mathcal{T}(V)$ can be identified with non-commutative polynomial ring $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. For example, if e_1, \dots, e_n is the standard basis for k^n , then

$$e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1 + 3e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1 + 4 \cdot 1$$

in $\mathcal{T}(k^n)$ corresponds to the inhomogeneous element

$$x_1^3 + 3x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 + 4$$

in $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$.

The following immediately follows from above, but it is useful to have a contrast to the symmetric and exterior algebras discussed below.

Proposition 4.4. *If e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis for V , then*

$$\{e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d} \mid (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^d\}$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{T}^d(V)$. In particular, $\dim_k \mathcal{T}^d(k^n) = n^d$.

4.1 Symmetric Algebra

Definition 4.5. Let V be a finite dimensional k -vector space. The *symmetric algebra on V* is the graded k -algebra

$$\mathcal{S}(V) = \mathcal{T}(V)/I$$

where I is the (two-sided) graded ideal

$$I = (v \otimes w - w \otimes v \mid w, v \in V).$$

The graded piece $\mathcal{S}^d(V)$ is called the *d th symmetric power*.

Symmetric powers satisfy a universal mapping property building on the one for tensor products:

Proposition 4.6. *The composition*

$$\pi : V^d \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^d(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^d(V)$$

is a symmetric multilinear map such that for any symmetric multilinear map $\phi : V^d \rightarrow W$ there exists a unique linear map $\psi : \mathcal{S}^d(V) \rightarrow W$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$.

If V is an n -dimensional vector space, then $\mathcal{S}(V) \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as graded k -algebras. Thus, the symmetric algebra is essentially a “coordinate-free” version of the polynomial ring. For this reason, the multiplication of symmetric algebra is usually just as monomials in the constituent vectors. For example, the image of $e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1$ might be written $e_1^2 e_2$.

We have the following combinatorial proposition.

Proposition 4.7. *If e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis for V , then*

$$\{e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_d} \mid 1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_d \leq n\}$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{S}^d(V)$. In particular, $\dim_k \mathcal{S}^d(k^n) = \binom{n+d-1}{d}$.

4.2 Exterior Algebra

Definition 4.8. Let V be a finite dimensional k -vector space. The *exterior algebra on V* is the graded k -algebra

$$\Lambda(V) = \mathcal{T}(V)/J$$

where J is the (two-sided) graded ideal

$$J = (v \otimes v \mid v \in V).$$

The graded piece $\Lambda^d(V)$ is called the *d th exterior power*.

Exterior powers satisfy a universal mapping property building on the one for tensor products:

Proposition 4.9. *The composition*

$$\pi : V^d \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^d(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^d(V)$$

is an alternating multilinear map such that for any alternating multilinear map $\phi : V^d \rightarrow W$ there exists a unique linear map $\psi : \Lambda^d(V) \rightarrow W$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$.

The image of a simple tensor $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d$ is written $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_d$. Similarly, the multiplication of two elements $f, g \in \Lambda(V)$ is written $f \wedge g$.

Proposition 4.10. *If $v, w \in V$, then $v \wedge w = -w \wedge v$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (v + w) \wedge (v + w) \\ &= v \wedge v + v \wedge w + w \wedge v + w \wedge w \\ &= v \wedge w + w \wedge v \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.11. *If $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$ and $\sigma \in S_d$, then*

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_d = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\sigma(d)}.$$

Proof. Every permutation can be written as a product of m adjacent transpositions and $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^m$ by definition. Thus, the result follows from the previous proposition. □

Proposition 4.12. *If $f \in \Lambda^d(V)$ and $g \in \Lambda^e(V)$, then $f \wedge g = (-1)^{de}g \wedge f$.*

Proof. This follows from the previous proposition in view of the fact that the permutation

$$(1, \dots, d+e) \mapsto (d+1, \dots, d+e, 1, \dots, d)$$

has sign $(-1)^{de}$. □

Proposition 4.13. *Vectors $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$ are linearly dependent if and only if $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose v_1, \dots, v_d are linearly dependent. Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$v_d = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1} v_{d-1}$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1} \in k$. Thus

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_i (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{d-1} \wedge v_i).$$

Since v_i occurs twice in each summand, the right hand side is 0.

Suppose v_1, \dots, v_d are linearly independent. We can complete to a basis v_1, \dots, v_n for V . Let $\beta : V \rightarrow k^n$ be the corresponding coordinate map. Let $f : V^d \rightarrow k$ be the function

$$f(w_1, \dots, w_d) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \beta(w_1) & \dots & \beta(w_d) & e_{d+1} & \dots & e_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where the $\beta(w_i)$ and e_j are thought of as columns of a matrix. Since the determinant is an alternating multilinear map on the columns of a square matrix, we see that f is an alternating multilinear map such that $f(v_1, \dots, v_d) = 1$. By the universal mapping property, if there exists an alternating multilinear map such that $f(v_1, \dots, v_d) \neq 1$, then $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d$ must be non-zero. □

We have the following combinatorial proposition.

Proposition 4.14. *If e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis for V , then*

$$\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_d} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_d \leq n\}$$

is a basis for $\Lambda^d(V)$. In particular, $\dim_k \Lambda^d(k^n) = \binom{n}{d}$.

4.3 Subspaces of Tensor Powers

There is a natural left action of the symmetric group S_d on the tensor power $\mathcal{T}^d(V)$ given by

$$\sigma(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$$

for $\sigma \in S_d$. The inverse is important to guarantee that it is a *left* action, but this will not be especially relevant in what follows.

Definition 4.15. Let V be a vector space on k . The *space of symmetric d -tensors on V* is

$$\text{Sym}^d(V) = \text{span}_k \{t \in \mathcal{T}^d(V) \mid \sigma(t) = t \text{ for all } t \in S_d\}.$$

The *space of alternating d -tensors on V* is

$$\text{Alt}^d(V) = \text{span}_k \{t \in \mathcal{T}^d(V) \mid \sigma(t) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)t \text{ for all } t \in S_d\}.$$

Note that while $\mathcal{S}^d(V)$ and $\Lambda^d(V)$ are *quotients* of $\mathcal{T}^d(V)$, the spaces $\text{Sym}^d(V)$ and $\text{Alt}^d(V)$ are *subspaces*.

Example 4.16. We have

$$e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1 \in \text{Sym}^d(k^5)$$

and

$$3(e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1) + 4(e_3 \otimes e_5 - e_5 \otimes e_3) \in \text{Alt}^d(k^7).$$

Observe that the symmetric group does *not* act on the vector space V .

Proposition 4.17. *Suppose either $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k) > d$. Then the compositions*

$$\text{Sym}^d(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^d(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^d(V)$$

$$\text{Alt}^d(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^d(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^d(V)$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. We define a *polarization* map $P : \mathcal{S}^d(V) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d(V)$ as follows. Consider first the map $p : \mathcal{T}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^d$ defined via

$$p(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)}.$$

Observe that p is a linear projection onto $\text{Sym}^d(V)$ with kernel precisely $I \cap \mathcal{T}^d(V)$ from the definition of $\mathcal{S}^d(V)$. Thus we obtain the desired map P . One checks that P is an inverse of the composition in the statement of the proposition.

There is a similar construction for $\text{Alt}^d(V)$ and $\Lambda^d(V)$. \square

The isomorphisms above are in widespread use, but can be quite misleading. For example, the isomorphisms give a graded algebra structure on the infinite direct sum $\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \text{Sym}^d(V)$, but the multiplication is *not* the same as the one inherited from \mathcal{T} .

Proposition 4.18. $\text{Sym}^d(V^\vee)$ and $(\mathcal{S}^d(V))^\vee$ are canonically isomorphic.

Proof. By the universal mapping property of tensor products, $\mathcal{T}^d(V^\vee)$ is canonically isomorphic to the space of d -linear maps $V^d \rightarrow k$. Thus, $\text{Sym}^d(V^\vee)$ is isomorphic to the space of *symmetric* d -linear forms $V^d \rightarrow k$. These are in canonical bijection with linear maps $\mathcal{S}^d(V) \rightarrow k$ by the universal property of $\mathcal{S}^d(V)$. Thus, the isomorphism follows. \square

There cannot be a canonical isomorphism $\text{Alt}^d(V^\vee) \cong (\Lambda^d(V))^\vee$ in all cases. Indeed, $\text{Alt}^d(V^\vee) = \text{Sym}^d(V^\vee)$ in characteristic 2, which may have a different dimension than $\Lambda^d(V)^\vee$. However, the following holds in all characteristics.

Proposition 4.19. $\Lambda^d(V^\vee)$ and $(\Lambda^d(V))^\vee$ are canonically isomorphic.

Proof. The isomorphism amounts to a perfect pairing

$$\Lambda^d(V^\vee) \times \Lambda^d(V) \rightarrow k$$

defined by

$$(f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_d)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_d) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1(v_1) & \cdots & f_d(v_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(v_d) & \cdots & f_d(v_d) \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$ and $f_1, \dots, f_d \in V^\vee$. We leave checking the details as an exercise. \square

4.4 Examples

Example 4.20 (Algebras). A finite-dimensional k -algebra A has a multiplication $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$ which is linear in each entry. (Addition follows by the distributive property of the underlying ring, while scalar multiplication follows from the compatibility property). Thus, we can think of an algebra as being a vector space A along with a choice of tensor t in $A^\vee \otimes A^\vee \otimes A$.

If e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis for A , then the *structure constants* of the algebra is a collection $\{a_\ell^{ij}\}$ of n^3 elements of k such that

$$e_i \cdot e_j = \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^{ij} e_\ell$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We can now write the tensor explicitly as

$$t = \sum_{i,k,\ell} a_\ell^{ij} e_i^\vee \otimes e_j^\vee \otimes e_\ell.$$

The algebra is commutative if and only if $a_\ell^{ij} = a_\ell^{ji}$ for all possible indices. Equivalently, the algebra is commutative if and only if it is in the subspace $\text{Sym}^2(A^\vee) \otimes A$. The associativity condition is *not* linear in the structure constants.

Example 4.21 (Coordinate rings). If V is a vector space, then a general polynomial function $f : V \rightarrow k$ is clearly not linear or multilinear. However, the set of such functions is canonically identified with $\mathcal{S}(V^\vee)$. This is not at all deep, but it's worth exploring a bit.

If x_1, \dots, x_n is a basis for V^\vee , then f is a linear combination of elements of the form $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d}$. The function application is carried out by extension by linearity of

$$(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d})(v) \mapsto x_{i_1}(v) \cdots x_{i_d}(v)$$

for each $v \in V$.

The map $\alpha : \mathcal{S}^d(V^\vee) \times V \rightarrow k$ can be understood via the (linear) canonical pairing

$$\mathcal{S}^d(V^\vee) \times \text{Sym}^d(V) \rightarrow k$$

and the (non-linear) diagonal map $\Delta : V \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d(V)$ where $\Delta(v) = v \otimes \cdots \otimes v$. We then have $\alpha(f, v) = f(\Delta(v))$.

Example 4.22 (Quadratic Forms). The symmetric bilinear forms on a finite-dimensional vector space V can be identified with $\text{Sym}^2(V^\vee)$ since the usual bilinear forms can be identified with $(V \otimes V)^\vee = V^\vee \otimes V^\vee$. In contrast, the quadratic forms on V can be identified with $\mathcal{S}^2(V^\vee)$ since they are precisely the degree 2 homogeneous polynomial functions on V .

In view of Proposition 4.17, there is a canonical isomorphism between them when the characteristic is not 2. When b is a symmetric bilinear form and q is the corresponding quadratic form. The map $\text{Sym}^2(V^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(V^\vee)$ corresponds to

$$q(v) = b(v, v)$$

for $v \in V$, while the *polarization* map $\mathcal{S}^2(V^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2(V^\vee)$ corresponds to

$$b(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}(q(v+w) - q(v) - q(w))$$

for $v, w \in V$.

In the two-dimensional case, the symmetric bilinear form of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to the quadratic form $ax^2 + 2bxy + cz^2$. The isomorphism in a tensor basis corresponds to

$$(e_1^2, e_1e_2, e_2^2) \leftrightarrow (e_1 \otimes e_1, e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1, e_2 \otimes e_2)$$

for e_1, e_2 a basis for V .

Example 4.23 (Volume Form). Given an n -dimensional vector space V , a *volume form* is a non-zero element $\omega \in \Lambda^n(V)^\vee$. Since $\Lambda^n(V)$ is 1-dimensional, a volume form is simply a choice of isomorphism $\omega : \Lambda^n(V) \cong k$. Given an ordered basis e_1, \dots, e_n of V , we obtain a corresponding volume form $\omega = (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n)^\vee$. Observe that the ordering is necessary or else we only know ω up to a sign.

Volume forms have a geometric interpretation, justifying their name. In \mathbb{R}^n , there is a standard volume form $\omega = (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n)^\vee$ where e_1, \dots, e_n is the standard basis. If v_1, \dots, v_n are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\omega(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n)$ is the volume of the parallelepiped they generate.

More generally, if $k = \mathbb{R}$, then a volume form $\omega \in \Lambda^n(V)^\vee$ evaluates to either a positive or negative number on any ordered basis. Thus, it can be said that a volume form determines an *orientation*. This generalizes the distinction between clockwise and counter-clockwise for \mathbb{R}^2 , and the distinction between left hand rule and right hand rule for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example 4.24 (Wedge Product Pairing). Let V be an n -dimensional vector space. Given a choice of volume form $\omega : \Lambda^n(V) \cong k$, we obtain a perfect pairing

$$\Lambda^d(V) \times \Lambda^{n-d}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^n(V) \cong k$$

for every $0 \leq d \leq n$ from the exterior algebra multiplication. Thus, ω determines an isomorphism

$$\omega_d : \Lambda^d(V) \cong \Lambda^{n-d}(V^\vee) .$$

A different choice of ω only changes ω_d up to a scaling factor, so ω_d is canonical *up to scaling*.

The function ω_d takes simple d -vectors to simple $(n-d)$ -vectors. Moreover, suppose $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$ and $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-d} \in V^\vee$ satisfy

$$\omega_d(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = \ell_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \ell_{n-d}.$$

The subspace spanned by v_1, \dots, v_n is exactly the subspace of solutions v to the equations

$$\ell_1(v) = \dots = \ell_{n-d}(v) = 0.$$

References

- [DF04] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. *Abstract algebra*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, third edition, 2004.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [Lan02] Serge Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.