## Due: Thursday, February 15, 2018

Read §2.1–2.4 from the text.

You do not need to use a computer algebra system to do these problems. Make sure your solutions can be checked by hand.

**Problem 1** (c.f. 2.1.1) For each of the following, determine whether  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is contained in the ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

(a)  $f = x^2 - 3x + 2$ ,  $I = \langle x - 2 \rangle$ . (b)  $f = x^5 - 4x + 1$ ,  $I = \langle x^3 - x^2 + x \rangle$ . (c)  $f = x^2 - 4x + 4$ ,  $I = \langle x^4 - 6x^2 + 12x - 8, 2x^3 - 10x^2 + 16x - 8 \rangle$ . (d)  $f = x^3 - 1$ ,  $I = \langle x^9 - 1, x^5 + x^3 - x^2 - 1 \rangle$ .

**Problem 2** (c.f. 2.2.1, 2.2.3) Rewrite each of the following polynomials in lex, grlex, and grevlex order. Then repeat with the variables ordered z > y > x instead. (There are 6 rewrites for each polynomial.)

- (a)  $f(x, y, z) = 2x + 3y + z + x^2 z^2 + x^3$ .
- (b)  $f(x, y, z) = 2x^2y^8 3x^5yz^4 + xyz^3 xy^4$ .

**Problem 3** (c.f. 2.2.11) Let > be a monomial order on  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

- (a) Suppose  $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is nonzero and m is a nonzero monomial. Show that  $LT(m \cdot f) = m \cdot LT(f)$ .
- (b) Suppose  $f, g \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  are nonzero. Is it true that LT(fg) = LT(f) LT(g)? If so, prove it; otherwise, find a counterexample.
- (c) Suppose  $f_1, \ldots, f_s, g_1, \ldots, g_s \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^s f_i g_i$  are all non-zero. Is it true that  $\operatorname{LT}(\sum_{i=1}^s f_i g_i)$  is equal to  $\operatorname{LT}(f_i) \operatorname{LT}(g_i)$  for some *i*? If so, prove it; otherwise, find a counterexample.

Problem 4 (c.f. 2.2.12) Prove Lemma 2.2.8 from the text.

**Problem 5** (c.f. 2.3.1) Compute the remainder on division of  $f = x^3y^2 + xy^3 - y + 1$  by the ordered set  $F = (xy^2 - x, x - y^3)$  using both the lex and griex monomial orders.

**Problem 6** (c.f. 2.3.2) Compute the remainder on division of f = xy - 2yz by the ordered set  $(x - y^2, y - z^3, z^2 - 1)$  using to the lex monomial order.

**Problem 7** (c.f. 2.4.10) Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are positive and linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , define

$$\alpha >_{\mathbf{u}} \beta$$
 iff  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha > \mathbf{u} \cdot \beta$ 

where the dot is the usual dot product of vectors. Prove that  $>_{\mathbf{u}}$  is a monomial order.

**Problem 8** (c.f. 2.4.11) Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  be in  $\mathbb{N}^n$ , and fix a monomial order  $>_{\sigma}$  on  $\mathbb{N}^n$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , define  $\alpha >_{\mathbf{u},\sigma} \beta$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha > \mathbf{u} \cdot \beta$  or  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{u} \cdot \beta$  and  $\alpha >_{\sigma} \beta$ .

- (a) Prove that  $>_{\mathbf{u},\sigma}$  is a monomial order.
- (b) Find  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  so that  $>_{\mathbf{u},lex}$  is the griex order  $>_{qrlex}$ .
- (c) Note that  $>_{\sigma}$  is used break ties. Prove that, if  $n \ge 2$ , then for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $\alpha \neq \beta$  but  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{u} \cdot \beta$ .

**Problem 9** (Bonus) The previous two problems give examples of *weight orders*, which we now define in general. Given a sequence S of vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , define an order  $>_S \beta$  as follows. If  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 > \beta \cdot \mathbf{u}_1$ , then  $\alpha >_S \beta$ ; if  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 < \beta \cdot \mathbf{u}_1$ , then  $\alpha <_S \beta$ ; otherwise, we must have  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 = \beta \cdot \mathbf{u}_1$ . In that case, we now compare  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}_2$  and  $\beta \cdot \mathbf{u}_2$  similarly. If it is still a tie, we use  $\mathbf{u}_3$  and so on.

For certain sequences S, the resulting relation  $>_S$  is a monomial order. In fact, every monomial order can be described in this way (we won't prove this).

- (a) Show that lex, grlex, and grevlex are weight orders by explicitly describing appropriate sequences S of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (b) Find examples of sequences S such that  $>_S$  is not a monomial order.